

Types

John Mitchell

Reading: Chapter 6

Type

A type is a collection of computable values that share some structural property.

◆ Examples

- Integers
- Strings
- $\text{int} \rightarrow \text{bool}$
- $(\text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}) \rightarrow \text{bool}$

◆ “Non-examples”

- $\{3, \text{true}, \lambda x.x\}$
- Even integers
- $\{f:\text{int} \rightarrow \text{int} \mid \text{if } x > 3 \text{ then } f(x) > x*(x+1)\}$

Distinction between types and non-types is language dependent.

Uses for types

◆ Program organization and documentation

- Separate types for separate concepts
 - Represent concepts from problem domain
- Indicate intended use of declared identifiers
 - Types can be checked, unlike program comments

◆ Identify and prevent errors

- Compile-time or run-time checking can prevent meaningless computations such as $3 + \text{true}$ - “Bill”

◆ Support optimization

- Example: short integers require fewer bits
- Access record component by known offset

Type errors

◆ Hardware error

- function call $x()$ where x is not a function
- may cause jump to instruction that does not contain a legal op code

◆ Unintended semantics

- $\text{int_add}(3, 4.5)$
- not a hardware error, since bit pattern of float 4.5 can be interpreted as an integer
- just as much an error as $x()$ above

General definition of type error

◆ A *type error* occurs when execution of program is not faithful to the intended semantics

◆ Do you like this definition?

- Store 4.5 in memory as a floating-point number
 - Location contains a particular bit pattern
- To interpret bit pattern, we need to know the type
- If we pass bit pattern to integer addition function, the pattern will be interpreted as an integer pattern
 - Type error if the pattern was intended to represent 4.5

Compile-time vs run-time checking

◆ Lisp uses run-time type checking

$(\text{car } x)$ check first to make sure x is list

◆ ML uses compile-time type checking

$f(x)$ must have $f : A \rightarrow B$ and $x : A$

◆ Basic tradeoff

- Both prevent type errors
- Run-time checking slows down execution
- Compile-time checking restricts program flexibility
 - Lisp list: elements can have different types
 - ML list: all elements must have same type

Expressiveness

- ◆ In Lisp, we can write function like
`(lambda (x) (cond ((less x 10) x) (T (car x))))`
 Some uses will produce type error, some will not
- ◆ Static typing always conservative
`if (big-hairy-boolean-expression)`
`then ((lambda (x) ...) 5)`
`else ((lambda (x) ...) 10)`
 Cannot decide at compile time if run-time error will occur

Relative type-safety of languages

- ◆ Not safe: BCPL family, including C and C++
 - Casts, pointer arithmetic
- ◆ Almost safe: Algol family, Pascal, Ada.
 - Dangling pointers.
 - Allocate a pointer p to an integer, deallocate the memory referenced by p, then later use the value pointed to by p
 - No language with explicit deallocation of memory is fully type-safe
- ◆ Safe: Lisp, ML, Smalltalk, and Java
 - Lisp, Smalltalk: dynamically typed
 - ML, Java: statically typed

Type checking and type inference

- ◆ Standard type checking
`int f(int x) { return x+1; };`
`int g(int y) { return f(y+1)*2;};`
 - Look at body of each function and use declared types or identifies to check agreement.
 - ◆ Type inference
~~int~~ f(~~int~~ x) { return x+1; };
 - ~~int~~ g(~~int~~ y) { return f(y+1)*2;};
 - Look at code without type information and figure out what types could have been declared.
- ML is designed to make type inference tractable.

Motivation

- ◆ Types and type checking
 - Type systems have improved steadily since Algol 60
 - Important for modularity, compilation, reliability
- ◆ Type inference
 - A cool algorithm
 - Widely regarded as important language innovation
 - ML type inference gives you some idea of how many other static analysis algorithms work


ML Type Inference

- ◆ Example
 - `fun f(x) = 2+x;`
 - > `val it = fn : int → int`
- ◆ How does this work?
 - + has two types: `int*int → int`, `real*real → real`
 - 2 : int has only one type
 - This implies + : `int*int → int`
 - From context, need x: int
 - Therefore `f(x:int) = 2+x` has type `int → int`


Overloaded + is unusual. Most ML symbols have unique type.
 In many cases, unique type may be polymorphic.

Another presentation

- ◆ Example
 - `fun f(x) = 2+x;`
 - > `val it = fn : int → int`
- ◆ How does this work?
 - Assign types to leaves
 - Propagate to internal nodes and generate constraints
 - Solve by substitution




Application and Abstraction



◆ Application

- f must have function type domain \rightarrow range
- domain of f must be type of argument x
- result type is range of f



◆ Function expression

- Type is function type domain \rightarrow range
- Domain is type of variable x
- Range is type of function body e

Types with type variables

◆ Example


- fun f(g) = g(2);
- > val it = fn : (int \rightarrow t) \rightarrow t

◆ How does this work?

Assign types to leaves

Propagate to internal nodes and generate constraints

Solve by substitution



Use of Polymorphic Function

◆ Function

- fun f(g) = g(2);
- > val it = fn : (int \rightarrow t) \rightarrow t

◆ Possible applications

- | | |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| - fun add(x) = 2+x; | - fun isEven(x) = ...; |
| > val it = fn : int \rightarrow int | > val it = fn : int \rightarrow bool |
| - f(add); | - f(isEven); |
| > val it = 4 : int | > val it = true : bool |

Recognizing type errors

◆ Function

- fun f(g) = g(2);
- > val it = fn : (int \rightarrow t) \rightarrow t

◆ Incorrect use

- fun not(x) = if x then false else true;
 - > val it = fn : bool \rightarrow bool
 - f(not);
- Type error: cannot make bool \rightarrow bool = int \rightarrow t

Another Type Inference Example

◆ Function Definition

- fun f(g,x) = g(g(x));
- > val it = fn : (t \rightarrow t)*t \rightarrow t


◆ Type Inference

Assign types to leaves

Propagate to internal nodes and generate constraints

Solve by substitution

Graph for $\lambda(g,x). g(g\ x)$



Polymorphic Datatypes

◆ Datatype with type variable

'a is syntax for "type variable a"

- datatype 'a list = nil | cons of 'a*('a list)
- > nil : 'a list
- > cons : 'a*('a list) \rightarrow 'a list

◆ Polymorphic function

- fun length nil = 0
- | length (cons(x,rest)) = 1 + length(rest)
- > length : 'a list \rightarrow int

◆ Type inference

- Infer separate type for each clause
- Combine by making two types equal (if necessary)


Type inference with recursion

◆ Second Clause

$\text{length}(\text{cons}(x, \text{rest})) = 1 + \text{length}(\text{rest})$

◆ Type inference

- Assign types to leaves, including function name
- Proceed as usual
- Add constraint that type of function body = type of function name



We do not expect you to master this.

Main Points about Type Inference

◆ Compute type of expression

- Does not require type declarations for variables
- Find *most general type* by solving constraints
- Leads to polymorphism

◆ Static type checking without type specifications

◆ May lead to better error detection than ordinary type checking

- Type may indicate a programming error even if there is no type error (example following slide).

Information from type inference

◆ An interesting function on lists

```
fun reverse (nil) = nil
| reverse (x::lst) = reverse(lst);
```

◆ Most general type

$\text{reverse} : 'a \text{ list} \rightarrow 'b \text{ list}$

◆ What does this mean?

Since reversing a list does not change its type, there must be an error in the definition of "reverse"

See Koenig paper on "Reading" page of CS242 site

Polymorphism vs Overloading

◆ Parametric polymorphism

- Single algorithm may be given many types
- Type variable may be replaced by *any* type
- $f : t \rightarrow t \Rightarrow f : \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}, f : \text{bool} \rightarrow \text{bool}, \dots$

◆ Overloading

- A single symbol may refer to more than one algorithm
- Each algorithm may have different type
- Choice of algorithm determined by type context
- Types of symbol may be arbitrarily different
- + has types $\text{int} * \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}, \text{real} * \text{real} \rightarrow \text{real}$, *no others*

Parametric Polymorphism: ML vs C++

◆ ML polymorphic function

- Declaration has no type information
- Type inference: type expression with variables
- Type inference: substitute for variables as needed

◆ C++ function template

- Declaration gives type of function arg, result
- Place inside template to define type variables
- Function application: type checker does instantiation

ML also has module system with explicit type parameters

Example: swap two values

◆ ML

```
- fun swap(x,y) =
  let val z = !x in x := !y; y := z end;
val swap = fn : 'a ref * 'a ref -> unit
```

◆ C++

```
template <typename T>
void swap(T& , T& y){
    T tmp = x; x=y; y=tmp;
}
```

Declarations look similar, but compiled is very differently

Implementation

◆ ML

- Swap is compiled into one function
- Typechecker determines how function can be used

◆ C++

- Swap is compiled into linkable format
- Linker duplicates code for each type of use

◆ Why the difference?

- ML ref cell is passed by pointer, local x is pointer to value on heap
- C++ arguments passed by reference (pointer), but local x is on stack, size depends on type

Another example

◆ C++ polymorphic sort function

```
template <typename T>
void sort( int count, T * A[count] ) {
    for (int i=0; i<count-1; i++)
        for (int j=i+1; j<count-1; j++)
            if (A[j] < A[i]) swap(A[i],A[j]);
}
```

◆ What parts of implementation depend on type?

- Indexing into array
- Meaning and implementation of <

ML Overloading

◆ Some predefined operators are overloaded

◆ User-defined functions must have unique type

- fun plus(x,y) = x+y;

This is compiled to int or real function, not both

◆ Why is a unique type needed?

- Need to compile code \Rightarrow need to know which +
- Efficiency of type inference
- Aside: General overloading is NP-complete

Two types, *true* and *false*

Overloaded functions

and : { *true***true* \rightarrow *true*, *false***true* \rightarrow *false*, ... }

Summary

◆ Types are important in modern languages

- Program organization and documentation
- Prevent program errors
- Provide important information to compiler

◆ Type inference

- Determine best type for an expression, based on known information about symbols in the expression

◆ Polymorphism

- Single algorithm (function) can have many types

◆ Overloading

- Symbol with multiple meanings, resolved at compile time