

Concepts in Object-Oriented Programming Languages


John Mitchell

Outline of lecture

- ◆ Object-oriented design
- ◆ Primary object-oriented language concepts
 - dynamic lookup
 - encapsulation
 - inheritance
 - subtyping
- ◆ Program organization
 - Work queue, geometry program, design patterns
- ◆ Comparison
 - Objects as closures?

Objects

- ◆ An object consists of
 - hidden data
 - instance variables, also called member data
 - hidden functions also possible
 - public operations
 - methods or member functions
 - can also have public variables in some languages
- ◆ Object-oriented program:
 - Send messages to objects



What's interesting about this?

- ◆ Universal encapsulation construct
 - Data structure
 - File system
 - Database
 - Window
 - Integer
- ◆ Metaphor usefully ambiguous
 - sequential or concurrent computation
 - distributed, sync. or async. communication

Object-oriented programming

- ◆ Programming methodology
 - organize concepts into objects and classes
 - build extensible systems
- ◆ Language concepts
 - encapsulate data and functions into objects
 - subtyping allows extensions of data types
 - inheritance allows reuse of implementation

Object-oriented Method [Booch]

- ◆ Four steps
 - Identify the objects at a given level of abstraction
 - Identify the semantics (intended behavior) of objects
 - Identify the relationships among the objects
 - Implement these objects
- ◆ Iterative process
 - Implement objects by repeating these steps
- ◆ Not necessarily top-down
 - "Level of abstraction" could start anywhere

This Method

- ◆ Based on associating objects with components or concepts in a system
- ◆ Why iterative?
 - An object is typically implemented using a number of constituent objects
 - Apply same methodology to subsystems, underlying concepts

Example: Compute Weight of Car



- ◆ Car object:
 - Contains list of main parts (each an object)
 - chassis, body, engine, drive train, wheel assemblies
 - Method to compute weight
 - sum the weights to compute total
- ◆ Part objects:
 - Each may have list of main sub-parts
 - Each must have method to compute weight

Comparison to top-down design

- ◆ Similarity:
 - A task is typically accomplished by completing a number of finer-grained sub-tasks
- ◆ Differences:
 - Focus of top-down design is on program structure
 - OO methods are based on modeling ideas
 - Combining functions and data into objects makes data refinement more natural (I think)

Object-Orientation

- ◆ Programming methodology
 - organize concepts into objects and classes
 - build extensible systems
- ◆ Language concepts
 - dynamic lookup
 - encapsulation
 - subtyping allows extensions of concepts
 - inheritance allows reuse of implementation

Dynamic Lookup

- ◆ In object-oriented programming,
object → message (arguments)
code depends on object and message
- ◆ In conventional programming,
operation (operands)
meaning of operation is always the same

Example

- ◆ Add two numbers $x \rightarrow \text{add}(y)$
different `add` if `x` is integer, complex
- ◆ Conventional programming `add(x, y)`
function `add` has fixed meaning


Important distinction:
Overloading is resolved at compile time,
Dynamic lookup at run time.

Language concepts

- ◆ “dynamic lookup”
 - different code for different object
 - integer “+” different from real “+”
- ◆ encapsulation
- ◆ subtyping
- ◆ inheritance

Encapsulation

- ◆ Builder of a concept has detailed view
- ◆ User of a concept has “abstract” view
- ◆ Encapsulation is the mechanism for separating these two views



Comparison

- ◆ Traditional approach to encapsulation is through abstract data types
- ◆ Advantage
 - Separate interface from implementation
- ◆ Disadvantage
 - Not extensible in the way that OOP is

We will look at ADT's example to see what problem is

Abstract data types

```
abstype q
with
  mk_Queue : unit -> q
  is_empty : q -> bool
  insert   : q * elem -> q
  remove  : q -> elem
is ...
in
  program
end
```

Priority Q, similar to Queue

```
abstype pq
with mk_Queue : unit -> pq
  is_empty : pq -> bool
  insert   : pq * elem -> pq
  remove  : pq -> elem
is ...
in
  program
end
```

But cannot intermix pq's and q's

Abstract Data Types

- ◆ Guarantee invariants of data structure
 - only functions of the data type have access to the internal representation of data
- ◆ Limited “reuse”
 - Cannot apply queue code to pqueue, except by explicit parameterization, even though signatures identical
 - Cannot form list of points, colored points
- ◆ Data abstraction is important part of OOP, innovation is that it occurs in an *extensible* form

Language concepts

- ◆ “dynamic lookup”
 - different code for different object
 - integer “+” different from real “+”
- ◆ encapsulation
- ◆ subtyping
- ◆ inheritance

Subtyping and Inheritance

- ◆ Interface
 - The external view of an object
- ◆ Subtyping
 - Relation between interfaces
- ◆ Implementation
 - The internal representation of an object
- ◆ Inheritance
 - Relation between implementations

Object Interfaces

- ◆ Interface
 - The messages understood by an object
- ◆ Example: point
 - x-coord : returns x-coordinate of a point
 - y-coord : returns y-coordinate of a point
 - move : method for changing location
- ◆ The interface of an object is its *type*.

Subtyping

- ◆ If interface **A** contains all of interface **B**, then **A** objects can also be used **B** objects.

Point	Colored_point
x-coord	x-coord
y-coord	y-coord
move	color
	move
	change_color

- ◆ Colored_point interface contains Point
 - Colored_point is a *subtype* of Point

Inheritance

- ◆ Implementation mechanism
- ◆ New objects may be defined by reusing implementations of other objects

Example

```
class Point
  private
    float x, y
  public
    point move (float dx, float dy);

class Colored_point
  private
    float x, y; color c
  public
    point move(float dx, float dy);
    point change_color(color newc);
```

- ◆ Subtyping
 - Colored points can be used in place of points
 - Property used by client program

- ◆ Inheritance
 - Colored points can be implemented by reusing point implementation
 - Property used by implementor of classes

OO Program Structure

- ◆ Group data and functions
- ◆ Class
 - Defines behavior of all objects that are instances of the class
- ◆ Subtyping
 - Place similar data in related classes
- ◆ Inheritance
 - Avoid reimplementing functions that are already defined


Example: Geometry Library

- ◆ Define general concept `shape`
- ◆ Implement two shapes: `circle`, `rectangle`
- ◆ Functions on implemented shapes
`center`, `move`, `rotate`, `print`
- ◆ Anticipate additions to library

Shapes

- ◆ Interface of every `shape` must include
`center`, `move`, `rotate`, `print`
- ◆ Different kinds of shapes are implemented differently
 - Square: four points, representing corners
 - Circle: center point and radius

Subtype hierarchy




- ◆ General interface defined in the `shape` class
- ◆ Implementations defined in `circle`, `rectangle`
- ◆ Extend hierarchy with additional shapes

Code placed in classes

	center	move	rotate	print
Circle	c_center	c_move	c_rotate	c_print
Rectangle	r_center	r_move	r_rotate	r_print


- ◆ Dynamic lookup
 - `circle` → `move(x,y)` calls function `c_move`
- ◆ Conventional organization
 - Place `c_move`, `r_move` in `move` function

Example use: Processing Loop



Control loop does not know the type of each shape

Subtyping differs from inheritance



Design Patterns

- ◆ Classes and objects are useful organizing concepts
- ◆ Culture of *design patterns* has developed around object-oriented programming
 - Shows value of OOP for program organization and problem solving

What is a design pattern?

- ◆ General solution that has developed from repeatedly addressing similar problems.
- ◆ Example: singleton
 - Restrict programs so that only one instance of a class can be created
 - Singleton design pattern provides standard solution
- ◆ Not a class template
 - Using most patterns will require some thought
 - Pattern is meant to capture experience in useful form

Standard reference: Gamma, Helm, Johnson, Vlissides

OOP in Conventional Language

- ◆ Records provide “dynamic lookup”
- ◆ Scoping provides another form of encapsulation

Try object-oriented programming in ML.
Will it work? Let's see what's fundamental to OOP

Dynamic Lookup (again)

receiver → operation (arguments)

code depends on receiver and operation

This is may be achieved in conventional languages using record with function components

Stacks as closures

```
fun create_stack(x) =
  let val store = ref [x] in
    {push = fn (y) =>
      store := y::(!store),
      pop = fn () =>
        case !store of
          nil => raise Empty |
          y::m => (store := m; y)}
  } end;

val stk = create_stack(1);
stk = {pop=fn,push=fn} : {pop:unit -> int, push:int -> unit}
```

Does this work ???

- ◆ Depends on what you mean by “work”
- ◆ Provides
 - encapsulation of private data
 - dynamic lookup
- ◆ But
 - cannot substitute extended stacks for stacks
 - only weak form of inheritance
 - can add new operations to stack
 - not mutually recursive with old operations

Varieties of OO languages

- ◆ class-based languages
 - behavior of object determined by its class
- ◆ object-based
 - objects defined directly
- ◆ multi-methods
 - operation depends on all operands

This course: class-based languages

History

- ◆ Simula 1960's
 - Object concept used in simulation
- ◆ Smalltalk 1970's
 - Object-oriented design, systems
- ◆ C++ 1980's
 - Adapted Simula ideas to C
- ◆ Java 1990's
 - Distributed programming, internet

Next lectures

- ◆ Simula and Smalltalk
- ◆ C++
- ◆ Java

Summary

- ◆ Object-oriented design
- ◆ Primary object-oriented language concepts
 - dynamic lookup
 - encapsulation
 - inheritance
 - subtyping
- ◆ Program organization
 - Work queue, geometry program, design patterns
- ◆ Comparison
 - Objects as closures?