2004 Comprehensive Examination Artificial Intelligence

1. Search.

£ . . .

There are several ways this can be done. The following are examples. (a) 9, 8, 7, 6, 5, 4, 3, 2, 1 (b) 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9

2. Logic

- (a) Incomplete
- (b) Incomplete
- (c) Complete

(d) Incomplete

(e) Complete

3. Automated Reasoning.

- {-p(a,y), q(a,y)}
 {p(a,y), -q(a,y)}
 {p(x,f(x)), q(x,g(x))}
 {-p(x,y), -q(x,y)}
- {-q(a,y)}
 {-p(a,y)}
- 7. $\{q(a,g(a))\}$
- 8. {}

4. Probability.

5

p(B1|Red) = p(Red | B1) * p(B1) / p(Red)=2/11*1/3 / (2/11+4/9+3/10)*1/3 =0.1963

5. Natural Language.

131

(a) There is no semantic interpretation in this case.

(b) hates(tom,mary) & hates(harry,mary)

(c) One way is to add a number parameter, as shown below.

```
S(r(x, z) \land r(y, z)) \rightarrow Q(r(both(x,y), z))

Q(w(u, v)) \rightarrow NP(u,n) Verb(w,n) NP(v)

NP(x,s) \rightarrow Noun(x)

NP(both(x, y),p) \rightarrow NP(x,z) and NP(y,z)

Noun(tom) \rightarrow Art

Noun(dick) \rightarrow Bob

Noun(harry) \rightarrow Cal

Noun(mary) \rightarrow Deb

Verb(hates,p) \rightarrow hate

Verb(hates,s) \rightarrow hates
```

It is also possible to accomplish this by splitting the rules for Q, NP, and Verb; but this can be more cumbersome.