ALTO

Comprehensive Exam:

Autumn 2000-01

Automata and Formal Languages (60 points)

Problem 1. [10 points]

Consider the language L defined by the regular expression 00*10. Provide a PDA M for this language using as few states as possible. Note that there is a PDA with only 1 state and that the number of points you get will depend on the number of states used in your solution.

Problem 2. [18 points]

Decide whether the following statements are TRUE or FALSE. You will receive 3 points for each correct answer and -2 points for each incorrect answer.

- If L₁ and L₂ are both non-regular, then L₁ ∩ L₂ must be non-regular.
- L = {w ∈ {a, b, c}* | w does not contain an equal number of occurrences of a, b, and c} is context-free.
- Let L represent the language of a non-deterministic finite-state automaton N; then, swapping the final and non-final states of N gives a machine N' whose language is the complement of L.
- Assume that P ≠ NP. If L₁ is in P and L₂ is in NP, then L₁ ∩ L₂ must be in P.
- If L₁ and L₂ are both in NP, then L₁.L₂ must be in NP.
- If L₁ is context-free and L₂ is NP-complete, then L₁ ∪ L₂ must be NP-complete.

Problem 3. [12 points]

Classify each of the following languages as being in one of the following classes of languages: empty, finite, regular, context-free, recursive, recursively enumerable. You must give the smallest class that contains every possible language fitting the following definitions. For example, the language of a DFA M could be empty or finite, and must always be contextfree, but the smallest class that is appropriate is regular.

- The language L = {aⁱb^jc^kd^l | i = k and j = l}.
- The set of strings from {0,1}* which, when viewed as integers written in binary, are divisible by 3.
- The language of a non-deterministic finite state automaton (NFA) with only two states.
- 4. The language of a non-deterministic push-down automaton (NPDA) with only one

- The complement of a language L that belongs to P (polynomial time) but is not context-free.
- A language L to which we can give a polynomial-time reduction from an undecidable language.

Problem 4. [10 points]

Using a reduction from a known undecidable problem, prove that the following problem is undecidable: Determine whether a Turing machine M halts on all inputs from $\{0, 1\}^*$ that represent a valid encoding of some Turing machine. (You may assume any standard scheme for encoding a Turing machine into a string of 0's and 1's.)

Problem 5. [10 points]

Recall the decision problems called 2-SAT and 3-SAT. These are the versions of the satisfiability problems for 2-CNF and 3-CNF boolean formulas, respectively.

a). Prove that 2-SAT is polynomial-time reducible to 3-SAT. (Describe a reduction and justify its correctness.)

b). Given that 3-SAT is NP-complete, is the result in part (a) sufficient to prove the NP-completeness of 2-SAT? Explain.